NYNEX Government Affairs 1300 I Street NW Suite 400 West Washington DC 20005 202-336-7891

Kenneth Rust

Director Federal Regulatory Matters

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission

Office of Secretary

June 11, 1996

Ex Parte

Mr. William F. Caton **Acting Secretary** Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. - Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

> CC Docket No. 96-45 Re:

Dear Mr. Caton:

Yesterday, Frank Gumper and Stacey Chaney, representing NYNEX, met with Martha Hogerty and Kenneth McClure, members of the Federal-State Joint Board, regarding the item captioned above. The attached material formed the basis of the discussion during the meeting. Due to the late hour at which the meeting ended, a written notification of the meeting could not be filed until today.

Any questions on this matter should be directed to me at either the address or the telephone number shown above.

Sincerely,

Attachment

cc:

M. Hogerty K. McClure

No. of Copies rec'd O+



UNIVERSAL SERVICE

WHAT IS THE PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED?

Very High Cost Areas

Telephone Subscribership

Education and Health Access

UNIVERSAL SERVICE PRINCIPLES

- CORE SERVICES RESIDENTIAL LINE WITH ACCESS TO LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE, TOUCH -TONE, DIRECTORY LISTING, OPERATOR SERVICES, EMERGENCY SERVICES, AND TRS.
- REPLACE IMPLICIT SUPPORT MECHANISMS DEM WEIGHTING, LONG TERM SUPPORT, EXISTING USF
- CARRIER COMMON LINE IS AN ACCESS REFORM ISSUE
- INTERSTATE RETAIL REVENUE SURCHARGE MONIES COLLECTED THROUGH A SINGLE MECHANISM
- TARGETED HIGH COST ASSISTANCE RATE OF RETURN LECS USE ACTUAL STUDY AREA COSTS.
- PRICE CAP LECS USE BCM MODEL

NYNEX's Position on the Benchmark Costing Model (BCM)

The BCM should be used to target support for Price Cap LECs providing core universal service to rural, insular and high-cost areas.

Advantages of Using the BCM for Targeting Support

- Competitively and technologically neutral
- Facilitates portability of high-cost support
- Treats all carriers equal regardless of size, area served and whether incumbent LEC or new entrant.

The BCM is not a Valid Ratemaking Tool

- It is useful for identifying areas of the country that are relatively more costly to serve
- It should only be used for targeting support to Price Cap LECs providing core universal service to high-cost areas

The BCM should not be used as a Ratemaking Tool

- Designed to identify high-cost serving areas
- Not a true measure of economic or actual cost
- Underestimates the total cost of providing service
- Does not fully replicate the network architecture and costs of serving dense urban areas

BCM Limitations

- Overhead loading factors underestimate actual operational expenses
- Does not include the investment in the drop wire and network interface
- Riser cable is not a component of the network design
- For urban areas with loop lenghts of < 12,000 ft, the model uses analog copper feeder. In urban areas, because of space restrictions in cable vaults and conduit, fiber facilities are used in almost all cases.

PRICE CAP LECs BCM FUNDING

Cost Range	Support
< \$60	\$ 0
\$ 60 - \$ 70	\$10
\$70 -\$80	\$15
\$80 - \$90	\$20
\$90 - \$100	\$25
> \$100	\$30

PRICE CAP LECS

Current Funding \$295M

BCM Results

\$521M

PRICE CAP LECS

\$ (M)

THE STATE OF THE S	er a 2015. White is 400 meanings are grown below with the property of the control	And the second s
	Current	New
AMERITECH		\$11
BELL ATLANTIC	\$4	\$8
BELL SOUTH	\$4 8	\$4 8
GTE	\$177	\$185
FRONTIER	\$ 3	\$10
LINCOLN		\$ 5
NYNEX	\$8	\$13
PACTEL		\$25
SNET		
SOUTHWESTERN	\$ 1	\$ 55
UNITED	\$ 51	\$46
US WEST	\$ 3	\$114

NYNEX EDUCATION PLAN

- VISION FOR EDUCATION DEVELOPED WITH ASSISTANCE OF EDUCATION TELECOMMUNICATIONS COUNCIL
- TOTAL NATIONWIDE COSTS ESTIMATED BY COMMISSION -DIFFERENT PER STUDENT BENCHMARK PRICE AND BENCHMARK DISCOUNT FOR RURAL AND URBAN AREAS
- SCHOOLS DEVELOP PROPOSAL FOR BRINGING TECHNOLOGY INTO THE CLASSROOM
- SCHOOL PROPOSALS CERTIFIED BY STATE AUTHORITY
- TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS BILL SCHOOL FOR DISCOUNTED AMOUNT AND COLLECT REMAINDER FROM FUND ADMINISTRATOR
- STATE COULD SUPPLEMENT DISCOUNT FOR ADDITIONAL CREDIT FOR INTRASTATE SERVICES

THE NYNEX SINGLE FUNDING MECHANISM FOR UNIVERSAL SERVICE (\$MILLIONS)

DE	ESCRIPTION	AMOUNT (millions)
1 Lif	ei ne	\$123
2 Lir	nkup America	\$19
3 Hi	gh-Cost for Rate of Return Carriers	\$401
4 DE	EM weighting for Rate of Return LECs	\$275
5 His	gh-Cost for price cap carriers based on BCM	\$520
6 Sc	hools	\$1,445
7 Pu	blic Libraries	\$8 3
8 He	eith Care Providers	NA
9 To	tal (sum of lines 1 to 8)	\$2,886
10 Ba	ne Case Industry Interstate Retail Revenues	\$64,205
11 Ad	justed Interstate Retail Revenues (Line 10 - sum (Line 1 ough Line 5) Refer to Footnote 1	\$62,867
	deral Surcharge ((Line 9 / Line 11)	4.56%

Footnote 1

For Price Cap LECs, to the extent that funding for the new USF exceeds the amount for the old USF, that amount will be used by the receiving LEC to reduce its interstate rates.

